

<u>United States Patent and Trademark Office</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,643	12/30/1999	MICHAEL A. JASSOWSKI	042390.P7143	6610
JOHN P WARD BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			CRUZ, LOURDES C	
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/475,643	JASSOWSKI, MICHAEL A.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lourdes C. Cruz ears on the cover sheet with the cover	2827 correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 December 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-16 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "lead frame including the plurality of lead fingers... by a plurality of bond wires" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Reference numbers 230 through 237, reference number 250 and 257. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,643

Art Unit: 2827

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a die including a plurality of bond pads and driver cells, does not reasonably provide enablement for a lead frame including a plurality of lead fingers coupled to bond pads through bond wires. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. While the specification is enabling for the structural limitations above, the specification fails to specifically describe a lead frame and the connection of lead fingers to bond pads by wires.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "the inside" and "the outside". The same applies to claim 9. See that not only the claims lack antecedent basis, but that "inside" and "outside" circumscribe no set parameters since relativity to other structures within the device has not been described.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being antipated by Hiraga (US 6091089).

See that Hiraga discloses an apparatus comprising:

- A plurality of bond pads 6 configured in an array; a first plurality of driver cells 7 located to the outside of the plurality bond pads; and a second plurality of driver cells 5 located to the inside of the plurality of bond pads
- See that Hiraga also discloses a lead frame (Col 2, lines 44+) and that lead fingers connected to bond pads by wires are inherent from the disclosure (See fig. 2, elements 3 and 23)
- Claims 2 and 10: the plurality of bond pads configured in a staggered array
- Claims 3 and 11: pre-drive calls 4 located to the inside of the second plurality of driver cells

Application/Control Number: 09/475,643

Art Unit: 2827

- Claims 4 and 12: bond pads in a staggered array including an inner and outer ring (going around the chip)
- Claims 5 and 13: a plurality of metal connections 10, each of them
 to couple one of the first and second pluralities 5 of driver cells to
 one of the plurality of bond pads
- Claims 6 and 14: further comprising conductive interconnects 9, each of the plurality of pre-driver cells coupled to one of the first and second pluralities of driver cells by at least one of the conductive interconnects 9.
- Claims 7 and 15: each of the plurality of conductive interconnects substantially narrower in width than each metal connection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiraga.

Regarding claims 8 and 16: See that Hiraga does not specifically recite driver cells having a width of approximately 80 microns. However, see that the width of the driver cells does not cause any critical or unexpected results to the device's operation.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,643

Art Unit: 2827

Page 6

Rather it is merely an obvious design choice determined by routine experimentation. In

Aller, the court stated "Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior

art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine

experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456 105 USPQ 233,235 (CCPA 1995).

Therefore, to form cells of 80 microns would have been obvious to one with ordinary

skill in the art in order to provide pads that would occupy a workable area within the

device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-

5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner

Art Unit 2827

Lourdes Cruz March 14, 2002

Jerome Jackson, Jr. Primery Examiner